

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/021,665	12/07/2001	Bradley Jade Dickey	HILB / 723C1	HILB / 723C1 5763	
26875	7590 08/25/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	RRON & EVANS, LLP	SHERR, CF	SHERR, CRISTINA O		
2700 CAREW 441 VINE ST	- <del>-</del> · · · <del></del> · ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CINCINNAT	I, OH 45202	3621			
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	M			
Office Action Summary		10/021,66	5	DICKEY ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Cristina O	Sherr	3621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport	ppears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ess			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reployer period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and wil te, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠ 2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 L</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	<u>December 20</u> is action is no						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b)[ e drawing(s) b ction is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	` '			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have beer nts have beer ority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Si	tage			
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  ee of References Cited (PTO-892)  ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08  er No(s)/Mail Date	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	52)			

Art Unit: 3621

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to the Application filed 20 July 2001. Claims
 1-32 have been examined in this case.

# Specification

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 5. The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:
  - (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
  - (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.
- 6. For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

Art Unit: 3621

- 7. In the present case, independent claims 1, 15, 29, 30, 31 and 32 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of merely receiving pet care products, services and information comprising: receiving pet care products, services and information from a supplier of pet death products, services and information, or of making such products available to a preferred provider; and as a preferred provider, dispensing the pet care products, services and information to pet owners do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper, or by a person on foot, for example. These steps only constitute an idea of receiving pet care products and information. No technological arts are recited in the instant claims or any other claim in this application. As to technological arts recited in the specification, mere recitation in the specification (i.e., intended or field of use) or mere implication of employing a machine or computer or computer network to perform some or all of the recited steps does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea unless there is positive recitation in the claim as a whole to breathe life and meaning into the specification. In the present case, none of the recited steps are directed to anything in the technological arts as explained above with the exception of the recitation in the specification that the method involves the use of the "World Wide Web".
- 8. Further, the dependent (2-14 and 16-28) claims merely give examples of "preferred providers" such as veterinarians or pet shops, or examples of pet care products such as pet memorial markers or pet urns. Looking at the claims as a whole, nothing in claims 1, 15, 29, 30, 31 or 32, or any other claim in this application recites

Art Unit: 3621

any structure or functionality to suggest that a computer or computer network performs the recited steps. Therefore, the specification is taken to merely recite a field of use.

- 9. Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention produces the distribution of products and services for pet owners (*i.e.*, useful and tangible).
- 10. Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 1-32 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al (US 6,389,402B1).
- 13. Ginter discloses systems and methods for the disemination of information as well as secure transactions management and electronic rights protection over the internet.

  (e.g. col 1 ln 45 col 3 ln 50). Although not disclosed in the claimsd, the specification in this case discloses the disemination of information as well as the sale of products and services over a network such as the Internet.

Art Unit: 3621

14. Ginter does not expressly discloses a method of disseminating information and selling products for petcare. However, these differences are found only in the non-functional data. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, *see Cf. In re Gulack*, 703 F2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401,404 (Fed.Cir.1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579,32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed.Cir.1994).

15. Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may be applied as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

#### Conclusion

- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina O Sherr whose telephone number is 703-305-0625. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 to 5:00.
- 17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3621

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\*\*\*

SUPERVISORY ATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600